| | NDLA EDI Grant Rubric | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| $\langle \gamma \rangle$ | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Justification (scope of impact) | Strong benefit to EDI on a professional or institutional level. Proposed work has potential for strong community impact. | Benefits EDI on a professional or institutional level overall but potential for community impact is limited. | Unclear benefits to EDI on a professional or institutional level. Unclear or limited community impact. | No benefit to EDI and/or community impact. |
| Feasibility (clear plan for use of funds + reasonable timeline) | Proposed budget is thorough, accurate, and clearly aligned with the proposed program. Outcomes are achievable within the proposed time frame. | Proposed budget is clear but requires some clarification of details. Aligns with proposed program. Outcomes are likely achievable within the proposed time frame. | Proposed budget lacks significant detail. Unclear how it aligns with the proposed program. Outcomes unlikely to be achieved in project's proposed time frame. | No proposed budget or budget does not align with proposed program. No timeline present or clearly unreasonable for the given goal. |
| Assessment | Clear vision of how funds will impact programmatic or professional goals, as well as how success in either scenario will be measured. | Clear vision of how funds will impact programmatic or professional goals, but measures for success lack some details. | Unclear how funds will impact programmatic or professional goals; difficult to ascertain success. | No clear goals or plan for assessment. |